DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

FFB 2 3 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
VERILINK CORPORATION	
Petition for Rulemaking to Amend the Commission's Part 68 Rules to Authorize Regulated Carriers to Provide Certain Line Build Out Functionality as a Part of Regulated Network Equipment on Customer Premises	RM No. 8158

Reply Comments of the Telecommunications Industry Association User Premises Equipment Division

The User Premises Equipment Division of the Telecommunications Industry Association hereby submits its reply comments in support of the petition for rulemaking referenced above filed by Verilink Corporation. The User Premises Equipment Division of TIA represents 149 manufacturers of telecommunications products for use by the private, commercial or government customer including all wireline telecommunications equipment which are not a part of the public network such as telephones, facsimile machines, private branch exchanges, interfaces, cables, plugs and jacks. As the principal industry association representing the customer premises equipment ("CPE") manufacturing industry, the Division has a direct interest in and is well qualified to address the issues raised by Verilink's petition. For the reasons outlined below, TIA supports Verilink's petition and the proposed rulemaking proceeding that would establish such an amendment.

No. of Copies rec'd D+9
List A B C D E

Verilink requests in its petition that the Commission amend its Part 68 rules to authorize line build out ("LBO") functionality to be provided in the transmission path of 1.544 Mbps ("DS-1") services as a component of regulated network interface equipment located on customer premises. According to Verilink, permitting regulated carriers to provide LBO in the DS-1 transmission path will eliminate the need for the customer/carrier "joint engineering" process that is currently necessary to adjust LBO levels at installation. Verilink states that the rule amendment will eliminate a source of unnecessary user confusion and expense, as well as alleviate the network harm that arises from customer misadjustments of LBO settlings.

TIA agrees that allowing carriers to provide LBO in the DS-1 transmission path will minimize customer problems that often arise in adjusting the LBO settings of CPE interface devices. Carriers (and sometimes vendors) must respond to customer service requests at the time of installation from users who need assistance in selecting appropriate LBO settings for their equipment. In the real-world, practical experience of our members, the need for customers and carriers (and sometimes manufacturers) to confer in a "joint engineering" process is inconvenient to users and frequently leads to customer dissatisfaction with the CPE products. For carriers (and vendors), the process is time-consuming and unnecessarily costly.

The need for customers to adjust LBO settings can also impair the quality of service to other users to the extent that users misadjust LBO settings and inadvertently

launch excessive signals into the network. The inconvenience and confusion associated

with the "joint engineering" process also discourages the use of DS-1 services by smaller

business users who may otherwise be unfamiliar with DS-1 services.

TIA supports the rule amendment proposed in the Verilink petition because it

would eliminate the need for a "joint engineering" process and substantially simplify

installation of DS-1 facilities. The amendment would also facilitate the implementation

of the ANSI DS-1 Metallic Interface standard to the benefit of users, CPE manufacturers

and carriers. TIA does not expect that permitting carrier-provision of LBO will result in

increased costs to CPE customers or any material adverse affect on CPE vendors or the

CPE market.

Respectfully Submitted,

Telecommunications Industry Association

vice President

2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Ste. 800

Washington, D.C. 20006-1813

202/457-8737

Dated: February 23, 1993

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing <u>REPLY COMMENTS OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION</u> in RM-8158, was served by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, on each of the parties indicated on the service list shown below and attached, this 23rd day of February 1993.

Honna Raymond, Secretary

Andrew D. Lipman Integrated Network Corporation 757 Route 202/206 Bridgewater NJ 08807

Catherine Wang Swidler & Berlin, Chtd. 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007

William Buckley Verilink Corporation 145 Baytech Drive San Jose, CA 95134

Austin Lesea
Advanced Product Development
Larus Corporation
2160 Del Franco Street
San Jose, CA 95131

Mike Lefkowitz PairGain Technologies Inc. 12921 E. 166th Street Cerritos, CA 90701-2104

Thompson T. Rawls II BellSouth Telecommunications Inc. 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 1800 Atlanta, Georgia 30367-6000

Robert J. Gryzmala Southwestern Bell 1010 Pine Street, Room 2114 St. Louis, MO 63101

Daniel L. Bart GTE Service Corporation 1850 M. Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036 Michael S. Pabian Ameritech Operating Companies Room 4H76 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Deborah Haraldson New England Telephone and Telegraph Co. and New York Telephone Co. 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605

Lawrence W. Katz Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Attorneys for IDCMA
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P.O. Box 407
Washington, D.C. 20004-0407